

# Parametric Resonance versus Forced Oscillation in Time-Evolution of Quantum Meson Fields

Yasuhiko TSUE

*Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520,  
Japan*

## Abstract

The time-evolution of quantum meson fields in  $O(4)$ -linear sigma model is treated approximately. It is shown that the amplification of the amplitudes of pion modes with low momenta occurs by means of both the parametric resonance and the forced oscillation.

It is interesting to study the dynamics of the chiral phase transition in the context of relativistic heavy-ion collisions, such as the problem of the formation of a disoriented chiral condensate. One of the important theoretical aspects is to investigate the time-evolution of the order parameter of the chiral phase transition. As for the fluctuation modes around the order parameter, the amplification of the amplitudes must occur accompanying with the relaxation of the chiral order parameter. Recently, the present author has investigated the time-evolution of a collective meson field in the context of the dynamical chiral phase transition.<sup>1)</sup> It has been seen that the amplitudes of quantum fluctuations with low momenta around the mean field configuration have been amplified with the direction of pion modes in the  $O(4)$ -linear sigma model. As is indicated by many authors, this phenomena may be understood in terms of a parametric amplification.<sup>2)-5)</sup> However, there may be another mechanism to amplify the fluctuation modes. Actually, it is seen that the amplitude of the pion mode with higher momentum without  $\mathbf{k} = 0$  can be amplified in the previous paper.<sup>1)</sup> In this paper, it is pointed out that there is another mechanism to amplify the quantum fluctuation modes through the chiral phase transition, namely, that a forced oscillation works as well as a parametric resonance.

Before treating the  $O(4)$ -linear sigma model, it is instructive to recapitulate the ingredients of the parametric resonance and the forced oscillation. Let us consider the following equation of motion for  $x(t)$  :

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2(1 - h \cos \gamma t)x = 0 . \quad (1)$$

The parametric resonance occurs around  $\gamma = 2\omega_0/m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ).<sup>6)</sup> For  $m = 1$ , the resonance region of the frequency  $\gamma$  is given as

$$-h\omega/2 < \epsilon < h\omega/2 , \quad \text{for } \gamma = 2\omega_0 + \epsilon , \quad \epsilon \ll \omega_0 , \quad (2)$$

where we treated  $h$  as a small parameter,  $h \ll 1$ . Similarly, for  $m = 2$ , we obtain

$$-(5/24) \cdot h^2\omega_0 < \epsilon < (1/24) \cdot h^2\omega_0 , \quad \text{for } \gamma = \omega_0 + \epsilon , \quad \epsilon \ll \omega_0 . \quad (3)$$

In general, for given integer  $m$ , the unstable solution of  $x(t)$  is obtained as the form  $x(t) \propto e^{st} \times (\text{oscillation and constant parts})$ , where  $s$  is of the order of  $h^m$ . Namely, the amplification becomes slower in time as the integer  $m$  becomes larger. The resonance region also becomes narrow with the order of  $h^m$ , that is,  $-O(h^m) < \epsilon < O(h^m)$ . If  $h$  is not so small, we have to deal with the equation (1) directly. The equation (1) is known as Mathieu's equation and the properties of this equation are investigated in detail.<sup>7)</sup> In the following investigation,  $h$  is actually small fortunately. Thus, it is not necessary to take care of the full treatment of

this Mathieu's equation. Next, let us consider the equation of motion which describes the forced oscillation :

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = F \cos \Omega t . \quad (4)$$

The solution with initial conditions  $x(0) = \dot{x}(0) = 0$  is obtained as

$$x(t) = F \cdot \frac{\cos \Omega t - \cos \omega_0 t}{\omega_0^2 - \Omega^2} = \frac{2F}{(\omega_0 - \Omega)(\omega_0 + \Omega)} \sin \frac{\omega_0 - \Omega}{2} t \cdot \sin \frac{\omega_0 + \Omega}{2} t . \quad (5)$$

As is well known, when  $\Omega$  has a value near  $\omega_0$ , the behavior of the above solution shows the beat. Especially,  $\Omega \approx \omega_0$ , the amplitude of the solution becomes large linearly in time :

$$x(t) \approx (F/2\omega_0) \cdot t \sin \omega_0 t \quad (6)$$

Now let us return to the main subject, namely, let us treat the  $O(4)$ -linear sigma model in the squeezed state approach. We start from the following Hamiltonian density of  $O(4)$ -linear sigma model:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_a(\mathbf{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi_a(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \phi_a(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda \left( \phi_a(\mathbf{x})^2 - m^2/4\lambda \right)^2 - H \phi_0(\mathbf{x}) . \quad (7)$$

The squeezed state is adopted as a trial state in the time-dependent variational principle :

$$|\Phi(t)\rangle = N \exp(i(\bar{\pi} \cdot \phi - \bar{\varphi} \cdot \pi)) \exp(\phi \cdot [-(G^{-1} - G^{(0)-1})/4 + i\Sigma] \cdot \phi) |0\rangle , \quad (8)$$

where  $N$  represents a normalization factor and  $\bar{\pi} \cdot \phi = \sum_{a=0}^3 \int d^3\mathbf{x} \bar{\pi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \phi_a(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\phi \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \phi = \sum_{a=0}^3 \int \int d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y} \phi_a(\mathbf{x}) G_a^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) \phi_a(\mathbf{y})$  and so on. Here,  $G_a^{(0)} = \langle 0 | \phi_a(\mathbf{x}) \phi_a(\mathbf{y}) | 0 \rangle$ . The expectation values of the field operators are obtained, for example, as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(t) | \phi_a(\mathbf{x}) | \Phi(t) \rangle &= \bar{\varphi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) , \\ \langle \Phi(t) | \phi_a(\mathbf{x}) \phi_a(\mathbf{y}) | \Phi(t) \rangle &= \bar{\varphi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \bar{\varphi}_a(\mathbf{y}, t) + G_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$\bar{\varphi}_a(\mathbf{x}, y)$  and  $G_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t)$  represent the mean field and the fluctuation around it, respectively. The time-dependence of these functions, together with  $\bar{\pi}_a$  and  $\Sigma_a$ , are determined in the time-dependent variational principle :

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \langle \Phi(t) | i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \hat{H} | \Phi(t) \rangle = 0 , \quad (10)$$

where  $\hat{H} = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathcal{H}$ . The two-point function  $G_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t)$  can be further expanded in terms of the mode functions under the assumption of the translational invariance :

$$G_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) = \int_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)^2 , \quad (11)$$

where  $\int_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^3\mathbf{k}/(2\pi)^3$ . The equations of motion for the condensate  $\bar{\varphi}_a(t)$  and the fluctuation modes  $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)$  under the translational invariance can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\bar{\varphi}}_a(t) - m^2\bar{\varphi}_a(t) + 4\lambda\bar{\varphi}_a(t)^3 + 12\lambda \int_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)^2 \cdot \bar{\varphi}_a(t) \\ + 4\lambda \sum_{b \neq a} \left( \bar{\varphi}_b(t)^2 + \int_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^b(t)^2 \right) \bar{\varphi}_a(t) - H\delta_{a0} = 0 , \\ \ddot{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t) + \left[ \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2 + 12\lambda\bar{\varphi}_a(t)^2 + 12\lambda \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}^a(t)^2 \right. \\ \left. + 4\lambda \sum_{b \neq a} \left( \bar{\varphi}_b(t)^2 + \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}^b(t)^2 \right) \right] \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t) - 1/4\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)^3 = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The derivation and the physical viewpoint in this approach are given in detail in Ref.1). Hereafter, let us assume that the chiral condensate points in the sigma direction, that is,  $\bar{\varphi}_0 \neq 0$  and  $\bar{\varphi}_i = 0$  for  $i = 1 \sim 3$ . Also, fluctuation modes of the pi directions are identical one another, which are denoted as  $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi(t)$ , that is,  $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^1 = \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^3 \equiv \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi$ . When the explicit chiral symmetry breaking term,  $H$ , is small, the static solutions in Eq.(12) are given as

$$\bar{\varphi}_0 \equiv \varphi_0 = \sqrt{M_\sigma^2/8\lambda - H/2M_\sigma^2} , \quad \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{0^2} = 1/2\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\sigma^2} , \quad \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi^2} = 1/2\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\pi^2} , \quad (13)$$

where the sigma meson mass  $M_\sigma$  and the pion mass  $M_\pi$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} M_\sigma^2 &= -m^2 + 12\lambda\varphi_0^2 + 12\lambda \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}^{0^2} + 12\lambda \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\pi^2} , \\ M_\pi^2 &= -m^2 + 4\lambda\varphi_0^2 + 4\lambda \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}^{0^2} + 20\lambda \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\pi^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let us examine the time-dependent solutions around the static configurations. In Eq.(12), the time-dependent variables can be expanded around the static solutions of Eq.(13) :

$$\bar{\varphi}_0(t) = \varphi_0 + \delta\varphi(t) , \quad \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t) = \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t) . \quad (15)$$

Here, we consider the late time of the chiral phase transition. Thus,  $\delta\varphi(t)$  is small compared with  $\varphi_0$ . Further, we assume that  $|\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)| \ll |\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a|$  :

$$|\delta\varphi(t)|/\varphi_0 \ll 1 , \quad |\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)/\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a| \ll 1 . \quad (16)$$

From Eq.(9), it is seen that the two-point function  $G_a$  represents quantum fluctuations around the mean field. Namely,  $G_a$  is small compared with  $\varphi_0^2$ . Thus, from Eq.(11), we conclude that the following relation is satisfied :

$$\varphi_0^2 \gg \int_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{a^2} , \quad \text{then} , \quad |\varphi_0\delta\varphi(t)| \gg \left| \int_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a \delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t) \right| . \quad (17)$$

Under the above approximations and the small explicit chiral symmetry breaking, the equations of motion for  $\delta\varphi(t)$  and  $\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t)$  are obtained from (12) and (15) as

$$\delta\ddot{\varphi}(t) + M_\sigma^2\delta\varphi(t) = 0 , \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\ddot{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma(t) + [4(\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\sigma^2) + 24\lambda\varphi_0\delta\varphi(t)]\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma(t) &= -24\lambda\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma\varphi_0\delta\varphi(t) , \\ \delta\ddot{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi(t) + [4(\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\pi^2) + 8\lambda\varphi_0\delta\varphi(t)]\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi(t) &= -8\lambda\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi\varphi_0\delta\varphi(t) , \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^0$  and  $\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^0(t)$  have been rewritten as  $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma$  and  $\delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma(t)$ , respectively. The equation (18) is easily solved by using the sigma meson mass :

$$\delta\varphi(t) = \delta\sigma \cos(M_\sigma t + \alpha) , \quad (20)$$

where  $\delta\sigma$  and  $\alpha$  are determined by the initial conditions. Here, we adopt  $\alpha = \pi$  for simplicity. We further define the dimensionless variables :

$$\delta\tilde{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^a(\tau) = \sqrt{2M_a} \delta\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^a(t) , \quad \tau = M_\sigma t/2 , \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\sigma &= 4\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\sigma^2}/M_\sigma , & \omega_\pi &= 4\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\pi^2}/M_\sigma , \\ h_\sigma &= 6\lambda\varphi_0^2/(\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\sigma^2) \cdot \delta\sigma/\varphi_0 , & h_\pi &= 2\lambda\varphi_0^2/(\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\pi^2) \cdot \delta\sigma/\varphi_0 , \\ F_\sigma &= 96\frac{\lambda\varphi_0^2}{M_\sigma^2} \cdot \left(\frac{M_\sigma^2}{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\sigma^2}\right)^{1/4} \cdot \frac{\delta\sigma}{\varphi_0} , & F_\pi &= 32\frac{\lambda\varphi_0^2}{M_\sigma^2} \cdot \left(\frac{M_\pi^2}{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_\pi^2}\right)^{1/4} \cdot \frac{\delta\sigma}{\varphi_0} . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By introducing the above dimensionless variables, the solution (20) and the equations of motion (19) are recast into the simple forms as

$$\delta\varphi(\tau) = -\delta\sigma \cos \gamma\tau , \quad \gamma = 2 , \quad (23)$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \omega_\sigma^2[1 - h_\sigma \cos \gamma\tau]\right) \delta\tilde{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^\sigma(\tau) = F_\sigma \cos \gamma\tau , \quad (24)$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \omega_\pi^2[1 - h_\pi \cos \gamma\tau]\right) \delta\tilde{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi(\tau) = F_\pi \cos \gamma\tau . \quad (25)$$

If  $F_\sigma$  ( $F_\pi$ ) is negligible, then the equation (24) ((25)) is reduced to Eq.(1). In this case, the existence of the unstable solutions for  $\delta\tilde{\eta}_{\mathbf{k}}^a(\tau)$  may be expected. On the other hand, if  $h_\sigma$  ( $h_\pi$ ) is negligible or even if the parameters do not offer the unstable regions, the forced oscillation may be realized by the effect of  $F_\sigma$  ( $F_\pi$ ).

In the previous paper,<sup>1)</sup> the behavior of the time-dependent solutions in Eq.(12) was investigated numerically. It was shown that the amplification of the amplitude of the pion modes with low momenta was realized even in the late time. However, it was seen that the amplitude of the second excited mode was also amplified (See, Fig.3 in Ref.1)). We can now understand their behaviors by using Eqs.(24) and (25) approximately. The model

Table I. The values of dimensionless variables based on the parameters used in the previous paper.

<sup>1)</sup> Here,  $\delta = \delta\sigma/\varphi_0$

| mode    | $\omega_\sigma$ | $\omega_\pi$ | $h_\sigma$            | $h_\pi$               | $F_\sigma$           | $F_\pi$              |
|---------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $n = 0$ | 4.00            | 0.92         | $0.766 \times \delta$ | $4.83 \times \delta$  | $12.3 \times \delta$ | $4.09 \times \delta$ |
| $n = 1$ | 4.08            | 1.24         | $0.735 \times \delta$ | $2.67 \times \delta$  | $12.1 \times \delta$ | $3.52 \times \delta$ |
| $n = 2$ | 4.33            | 1.89         | $0.655 \times \delta$ | $1.14 \times \delta$  | $11.8 \times \delta$ | $2.79 \times \delta$ |
| $n = 3$ | 4.70            | 2.64         | $0.554 \times \delta$ | $0.586 \times \delta$ | $11.3 \times \delta$ | $2.41 \times \delta$ |
| $n = 4$ | 5.19            | 3.43         | $0.456 \times \delta$ | $0.348 \times \delta$ | $10.8 \times \delta$ | $2.12 \times \delta$ |

parameters  $m$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $H$  are adopted so as to reproduce the pion mass, the sigma meson mass and the pion decay constant in the static case in Eqs.(13) and (14). The box normalization with the spatial length  $L$  ( $= 10$  fm) is applied, in which the periodic boundary conditions for the fluctuation modes are imposed. Thus, the allowed values of momenta are restricted as follows :  $k_x = (2\pi/L)n_x$  and so on, where  $n_x$  is integer. The three momentum cutoff is also applied to regularize the momentum integration. The fluctuation modes are taken into account up to  $n^2 = n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 \leq 8^2$  corresponding to the three momentum cutoff about 1 GeV (990 MeV). The values of variables given in Eq.(22) are collected up to  $n = 4$  in Table I., where  $\delta = \delta\sigma/\varphi_0 \approx O(10^{-1})$  in the late time of the time-evolution of the chiral condensate.

First, let us consider the pion modes. It should be noted that  $\gamma = 2$ . For the lowest pion mode with  $n = 0$ ,  $\omega_\pi = 0.92$ . Therefore, it would not expected that the amplification due to the forced oscillation occurs. We thus investigate the possibility of the parametric resonance by setting  $F_\pi \approx 0$  safely. By noting  $2\omega_\pi \approx 2$ , let us examine the parametric resonance with  $m = 1$  in the case Eq.(2). The unstable region is given as

$$-h_\pi\omega_\pi/2 < \epsilon < h_\pi\omega_\pi/2 \quad \text{with } \gamma = 2 = 2\omega_\pi + \epsilon, \quad (\epsilon \approx 0.16). \quad (26)$$

The condition (26) reads together with Table I. as

$$\delta \equiv \delta\sigma/\varphi_0 > 0.072. \quad (27)$$

Thus, in the above region for  $\delta\sigma$ , the parametric resonance occurs and the amplitude of the lowest pion mode is amplified. This behavior appears in Fig.3 in Ref.1). Similarly, let us consider the pion mode with  $n = 1$ , the first excited mode. As is similar to the case  $n = 0$ , it is not necessary to take care of the amplification due to the forced oscillation because  $\omega_\pi = 1.24$ . For  $m = 1$ , the same condition as (26) should be satisfied to realize the parametric resonance, except for the value of  $\epsilon$  :  $\epsilon = 2(1 - \omega_\pi) \approx -0.48$ . Thus, the unstable

region is rewritten as

$$\delta \equiv \delta\sigma/\varphi_0 > 0.29 . \quad (28)$$

The parametric resonance is then realized. For  $m = 2$ , the unstable region is given as

$$-(5/24) \cdot h_\pi^2 \omega_\pi < \epsilon < (1/24) \cdot h_\pi^2 \omega_\pi \quad \text{with } \gamma = 2 = \omega_\pi + \epsilon \quad (\epsilon \approx 0.76) . \quad (29)$$

However,  $(1/24) \cdot h_\pi^2 \omega_\pi \approx 0.37(\delta\sigma/\varphi_0)^2$  is obtained in this parameterization. Therefore, the parametric resonance with  $m = 2$  does not occur.

Secondly, let us investigate the pion mode with  $n = 2$ , the second excited mode. If the parametric amplification occur, the case  $m = 2$  is realized because  $\omega_\pi = 1.89$ . This condition is given in Eq.(29) except for  $\epsilon$  :  $\epsilon \approx 0.11$ . However, the upper limit  $(1/24) \cdot h_\pi^2 \omega_\pi$  gives a severe limit :  $0.10 \cdot (\delta\sigma/\varphi_0)^2$ . Thus, the condition (29) can not be satisfied. On the other hand, it is possible that the amplitude of the pion mode with  $n = 2$  is amplified by the mechanism of the forced oscillation. The value of  $\omega_\pi$  ( $= 1.89$ ) is near  $\gamma$  ( $= 2$ ). If we neglect  $h_\pi$ , the equation (25) is same as (4) and the solution is given in Eq.(6). Thus, we obtain

$$\delta\tilde{\eta}_{n=2}^\pi(\tau) \approx 0.71(\delta\sigma/\varphi_0)\tau \sin \omega_\pi \tau . \quad (30)$$

Thus, the amplitude increases linearly in time. This behavior is seen in Fig.3 in Ref.1).

Finally, let us consider the sigma mode. The amplification due to the forced oscillation is impossible because the frequency  $\omega_\sigma$  is always greater than 2 ( $= \gamma$ ). Here, let us investigate the possibility of the parametric amplification. The lowest mode with  $n = 0$  is the most powerful candidate. Since  $\omega_\sigma = 4$ , the case  $m = 4$  is applicable :  $\gamma = 2 = \omega_\sigma/2 + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon = 0$ . The unstable region is given as  $-O(h_\sigma^4) < \epsilon < O(h_\sigma^4)$  for  $m = 4$  case. Since  $\epsilon = 0$ , the parametric amplification occurs. However, the unstable solution is proportional to  $e^{s\tau}$ , in which  $s$  is of the order of  $h_\sigma^4$  for  $m = 4$  case. From Table I.,  $h_\sigma^4$  is about  $3.4 \times 10^{-5}$  with  $\delta\sigma/\varphi_0 = 10^{-1}$ . This is very small value. Thus, the amplification occurs slowly in time. In the time region under consideration, the amplification may not be seen explicitly. In the sigma modes with higher momenta, the parametric resonance would not occur because the unstable window is very narrow.

It should be noted here that the mechanism amplifying the amplitudes of fluctuation modes, i.e., the parametric resonance or the forced oscillation, depends on the model parameters. However, in conclusion, it should be pointed out that there is a possibility of the amplification due to the forced oscillation as well as the parametric resonance. In this paper, the parametric resonance and the forced oscillation are treated separately in Eqs.(24) and (25). It is interesting to investigate both the mechanisms in the unified way. The important ingredients may be the properties of Mathieu's equation and the Mathieu function.

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (No.13740159).

## References

- 1) Y.Tsue, A. Koike and N. Ikezi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106** (2001), 807.
- 2) S. Mrówczyński and B. Müller, Phys. Lett. **B363** (1995), 1.
- 3) H. Hiro-Oka and H. Minakata, Phys. Rev. **C61** (2000), 044903.
- 4) S. Maedan, Phys. Lett. **B512** (2001), 73.
- 5) M. Ishihara, Phys. Rev. **C62** (2000), 054908.
- 6) L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics*, (Butterworth Heinemann, 1976), §27.
- 7) E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of Modern Analysis*, (Cambridge at the University Press, 1935), p.404.